

21 July 2026

Sequencing foundational computational instruction in the life sciences

Morgan Manning¹, Grady Roberts¹, Phil Hahn¹, Jessica Kahler¹, Emilio Bruna, Miguel Acevedo¹

1. University of Florida

Abstract

Computational and quantitative skills are increasingly central to the life sciences, yet how best to teach them remains debated. We used a mixed-methods design to examine how the pathway through which undergraduates encountered computational coursework related to changes in their self-reported coding anxiety, perceived math skills, and perceived computing skills over a semester. Fifty students at a single institution were classified into four pathways based on the timing and combination of a dedicated foundational R programming course and two advanced wildlife-ecology courses that apply R. Using linear models with retrospective pre/post ratings and reflexive thematic analysis of open-ended responses, we found that self-reported outcomes improved across all pathways. Students who completed the foundational course alone showed significantly larger computing-skill gains than students who encountered R only through advanced coursework (a 44% larger improvement), an advantage robust to the inclusion of student-level covariates. No pathway differences emerged for anxiety or math skills. Across covariate blocks, prior preparation most strongly predicted anxiety and perceived career relevance most strongly predicted computing skills, while hardware access predicted no outcome. Qualitative responses converged with these patterns: students with foundational coursework adopted R more uniformly as a primary tool and described its professional value in more specific, applied terms. Together the results suggest that dedicated foundational instruction supports computing-skill development and tool adoption, and that whether students receive such instruction may matter more than its precise placement relative to applied coursework. We discuss implications for curricular design in quantitative life-science training.

Sequencing foundational computational instruction in the life sciences

Miguel Acevedo Emilio Bruna Jessica Kahler
Phil Hahn Grady Roberts Morgan Manning

, Preprint

<https://doi.org/10.22541/authorea.15006397/v1>

Sequencing foundational computational instruction in the life sciences

Morgan Manning⁰⁰⁰⁰⁻⁰⁰⁰³⁻¹⁸⁸²⁻²⁵¹⁵, Grady Roberts, Phil Hahn, Jessica Kahler, Emilio Bruna, and Miguel Acevedo⁰⁰⁰⁰⁻⁰⁰⁰²⁻⁸²⁸⁹⁻¹⁴⁹⁷

University of Florida, Gainesville, United States, 32611

Abstract

Computational and quantitative skills are increasingly central to the life sciences, yet how best to teach them remains debated. We used a mixed-methods design to examine how the pathway through which undergraduates encountered computational coursework related to changes in their self-reported coding anxiety, perceived math skills, and perceived computing skills over a semester. Fifty students at a single institution were classified into four pathways based on the timing and combination of a dedicated foundational R programming course and two advanced wildlife-ecology courses that apply R. Using linear models with retrospective pre/post ratings and reflexive thematic analysis of open-ended responses, we found that self-reported outcomes improved across all pathways. Students who completed the foundational course alone showed significantly larger computing-skill gains than students who encountered R only through advanced coursework (a 44% larger improvement), an advantage robust to the inclusion of student-level covariates. No pathway differences emerged for anxiety or math skills. Across covariate blocks, prior preparation most strongly predicted

anxiety and perceived career relevance most strongly predicted computing skills, while hardware access predicted no outcome. Qualitative responses converged with these patterns: students with foundational coursework adopted R more uniformly as a primary tool and described its professional value in more specific, applied terms. Together the results suggest that dedicated foundational instruction supports computing-skill development and tool adoption, and that whether students receive such instruction may matter more than its precise placement relative to applied coursework. We discuss implications for curricular design in quantitative life-science training.

Accepted 21 July 2026.