Received: 14 May 2024 | Revised: 22 August 2024 | Accepted: 6 September 2024

DOI: 10.1111/btp.13387

bioTROPICA ASSOCIATION FOR TROPICAL BIOLOGY AND CONSERVATION WILEY

COMMENTARY

Is there really such a thing as *Tropical* Biology?

Emilio M. Bruna[1,2]

[1]Department of Wildlife Ecology and Conservation, University of Florida, Gainesville, Florida, USA

[2]Center for Latin American Studies, University of Florida, Gainesville, Florida, USA

Correspondence
Emilio M. Bruna, Department of Wildlife Ecology and Conservation, University of Florida, Gainesville, FL, USA.
Email: embruna@ufl.edu

Associate Editor: Jennifer Powers
Handling Editor: Jennifer Powers

Abstract

The ecosystems of The Tropics comprise a majority of the planet's biodiversity, approximately 40% of its terrestrial surface area, and half the human population. Despite this, Tropical Biology has historically been conceptualized as a specialized subdiscipline of the Biological Sciences. I assessed the validity of this assumption and conclude that it depends on the framework and evidence used to evaluate it. I suggest that the way forward as a discipline is not for Tropical Biologists to drop the geographic adjective that unites them, but to recenter The Tropics as the foundation of ecology and evolutionary biology.

Abstract in Spanish is available with online material.

KEYWORDS
bibliometrics, collaboration, colonialism, global south, scholarly societies, scientometrics, temperate, text-mining

1 | INTRODUCTION

> This is an interesting and useful study, but I feel the manuscript is better suited to a specialized journal focusing on tropical ecosystems.
>
> Subject Editor (name and journal redacted)

This decision regarding my submission to one of our field's well-known journals is likely familiar to many members of the Association for Tropical Biology & Conservation (ATBC). All three reviews were positive, with none of the referees identifying significant shortcomings or requesting major changes. So why had the manuscript been rejected? My only clue was in the Editor's conclusion, from which I gathered that they felt studies done *in* the tropics were of limited relevance to researchers working *outside* the tropics. After all, that's for whom a specialized journal is published—a smaller community of subject-matter experts—while the journal to which we submitted our study sought to publish "broad conceptual advances". In short, the Subject Editor was drawing a distinction between Biology and *Tropical* Biology, with the latter a specialized subdiscipline of the former.

This provincial view of research done in the tropics is not new. In 1963, P. W. Richards felt it necessary to use his Presidential Address to the British Ecological Society to explain "what the Tropics can contribute to ecology", advocate for financial investment in tropical research and field stations, and encourage students to visit and dedicate study "the most [biologically] exciting part of the world" (Richards, 1963). His justification for this topic was self-deprecating but pointed—he was concerned that a talk summarizing recent advances in tropical ecology, including his studies of forest structure and diversity in Borneo and Guyana, "would probably bore the large part of my audience who have never been to the tropics and never intend to do so" (Richards, 1963). That he felt this advocacy was still necessary despite decades of effort (Richards, 1946, 1964) must have been extremely frustrating.

Sixty years on many of us find ourselves similarly frustrated. Tropical field stations continue to be underfunded (Chapman et al., 1945; Corner, 1946; Eppley et al., 2024). Financial support for research in the tropics is relatively low and declining (Chapman et al., 1945; Sohmer, 1980; Stegmann et al., 2024). And while tropical ecosystems comprise the majority of the planet's biodiversity (Gaston, 2000), approximately 40% of its terrestrial surface

area, and are home to half the human population (Hoornweg & Pope, 2017), their study is still considered by many to be a scientific subdsicipline. My objective here is not to review the biological validity (Moles & Ollerton, 2016; Robinson, 1978) or scientific implications (Zuk, 2016) of this generalization, nor to summarize the history, status, and direction of tropical research (e.g., Bawa et al., 2004; Buechner & Fosberg, 1967; Chazdon & Whitmore, 2001; Janzen, 1972, 1986). Instead, I will attempt to assess the fundamental assumption behind the Editor's summary that motivated this essay: If Biology is broadly defined as the study of living organisms, is there really such a thing as *Tropical* Biology?

2 | WHY THE ANSWER IS "NO"

> ...in the case of biology, a major part of the accumulated biological knowledge is concerned with a rather minor part of the world's fauna and flora, because of the chance development of biology in the temperate zones.
>
> S. D. Ripley (1967)

One means of assessing if *Tropical* Biology is a distinct academic discipline is by considering the communities into which scientists self-organize. Scholarly societies are one such community; their establishment requires both an intellectual pursuit with which individuals identify and a critical mass of like-minded individuals in search of community. Some of these communities coalesce around broad conceptual domains (e.g., *Evolutionary* Biology, *Conservation* Biology, and *Integrative* Biology; Figure 1a). Still others bring together individuals from different conceptual domains that share an interest in a particular system (e.g., *Avian* Biology and *Island* Biology; Figure 1b). Finally, some scholarly societies comprise individuals using a common methodological framework to study disparate systems or address questions from distinct conceptual domains (e.g., *Molecular* Biology, *Mathematical* Biology, and *Experimental* Biology; Figure 1c).

Tropical Biology fails to align with any of these constructs. Its practitioners investigate fundamental questions across conceptual domains with a broad range of methodological approaches and study systems. This intellectual diversity was cogently summarized by the historian Megan Raby: "The work that tropical biologists do is nearly as diverse as the ecosystems they study" (Raby, 2017, p.5). Moreover, the "geographic pigeonhole" (sensu Raby, 2017) that presumably unites this community of scientists—the adjective 'tropical'—is itself challenging to operationalize. Formally, *The Tropics* are the band of the Earth's surface receiving at least 1 day of direct overhead sunlight per year; this region is delineated by the Tropics of Capricorn and Cancer (23°26′10.4″ S and N, respectively). However, the ranges of many "tropical" species and ecosystems extend far beyond these boundaries (Perhaps the most extreme examples are migratory birds such as the northern wheatear (*Oenanthe oenanthe*), which fly over 14,000 km from sub-Saharan Africa to their breeding grounds in the Arctic (Bairlein et al., 2012)), which is in part why Feeley and Stroud (2018) identified no less than eight distinct criteria by which authors to define



FIGURE 1 Alternative ways in which researchers self-organize in scholarly societies: (a) Conceptual Domain, (b) Systemic Domain, or (c) Methodological Domain. The Association for Tropical Biology & Conservation (i.e., ATBC) is unique in that transcends the three domains: Its members use a broad diversity of species, ecosystems, and methods to address questions grounded in—or even transcending—multiple distinct conceptual domains.

"tropical" systems. How then is it that *Tropical* Biology—whose practitioners conduct research in habitats ranging from rain forests to savannas—came to be seen as a specialized subdicipline despite the lack the sharp boundaries around which scientific groups typically coalesce?

This contemporary perception of "The Tropics" as distant and special is the result of centuries of historical and cultural reinforcement (Arnold, 1996; Driver & Yeoh, 2000; Miller & Reill, 2011; Stepan, 2001). The first Europeans to visit the tropics returned with vivid, captivating, and frequently pejorative descriptions of the places and people they encountered (Putz & Holbrook, 1988). Their stories and images established and inculcated several persistent and often contradictory tropes about tropical regions and people that were then repeated and reinterpreted by subsequent visitors (Smith, 1950; Stepan, 2001). The historian David Arnold (1996) has argued that these narratives of "Tropicality" (sensu Gourou, 1947) allowed Europeans to justify colonial expansion by defining the region as environmentally and culturally distinct while simultaneously superimposing on its remarkable diversity a generic and simplified identity: *The Tropics*.

The narratives of naturalists such as von Humboldt, Darwin, and Wallace were both informed by and reinforced these conceptions of the tropics as "distant" and "other" (Raby, 2017). Their writing and ideas then inspired many of the scientists central to the coalescing sciences of ecology and evolutionary biology, who were themselves immersed in a cultural milieu that viewed *The Tropics* as "distant" and "exotic". However, as Raby (2017) elegantly demonstrates, the scientific frameworks these disciplinary pioneers put forward, including the unique status of *Tropical* Biology, were not simply distillations of prevailing cultural and environmental tropes. Instead they emerged from the complex interplay of European colonialism, the expansion of US hegemony in Latin America and the Caribbean at the turn of the twentieth century, and the construction of tropical field stations for use by North American scientists that accompanied this expansion. The role of this scientific colonialism at such a pivotal moment of scientific consolidation cannot be overstated. As Richards (1963) explains, "the science of ecology developed first in central Europe, Scandinavia and Britain and very slightly later in the United States. The ideas and concepts with which it started were therefore inevitably based on the conditions in a temperate climate" (see also Buechner & Fosberg, 1967; Ripley, 1967; Webb, 1960). The same would be true of subsequent studies testing and refining these fundamental concepts, further reinforcing the "temperate bias" (sensu Zuk, 2016) in the leading journals of the day. While engagement with the burgeoning community of field biologists in tropical countries could have expanded the prevailing theories to make them more general, these scientists were rarely to work at the new US-run field stations (Raby, 2017). Their exclusion from the scientific discourse and literature, coupled with the temperate-centered focus of the early theory, suggests that the distinction between Biology and *Tropical* Biology is a historical legacy and largely artificial.

3 | WHY THE ANSWER IS "MAYBE"

> ...to this day ecology is biased by concepts and ideas appropriate mainly to the study of vegetation in temperate climate and areas where a very large proportion of the land has long been modified by agriculture and other more or less intensive forms of land usage.
>
> P. W. Richards (1963)

Even if *The Tropics* are a historical construct, *Tropical* Biology could still be conceptually distinct field of study if the scientific community has identified or converged on a suite of topics that are either unique to or best studied in tropical systems. To assess this possibility, I used a text-mining approach to compare the research foci of $N = 11{,}327$ studies conducted in the tropics with those of $N = 26{,}419$ studies conducted in other parts of the world. Specifically, I extracted and summarized the information from two structural components used by authors to describe the subject of their articles: the title and keywords. These provide distinct but complementary information, and so they are often analyzed both independently and in unison. For simplicity I present here results based on pooling each article's title and keywords, which were qualitatively similar to those for analyzing titles and keywords independently. The results for all analyses can be found in the Appendix S1, where one can also find a complete description of the methods used to gather and process the data.

Briefly, I download the bibliographic record from SCOPUS or the Web of Science 'Core Collection' for every article published from 1990 to 2022 in one of $N = 10$ journals (*Journal of Evolutionary Biology*, *Ecology*, *Journal of Applied Ecology*, *Evolution*, *Biotropica*, *Journal of Ecology*, *Tropical Conservation Science*, *American Naturalist*, *Tropical Ecology*, *Journal of Tropical Ecology*, and *Revista de Biologia Tropical*). I then used the refsplitr package (Fournier et al., 2020) and code written in the R programming language (R Core Team, 2023) to process the records, extract, process, combine the terms extracted from each article's title and keywords, and assign the article to its respective geographic category. Collectively this resulted in $N = 140{,}984$ terms, of which I identified and ranked the 50 terms most frequently used in articles from each geographic category.

Two major patterns emerge from this analysis. The first is that 26% of the most frequently used terms from "tropical" articles reflected geographic locations (e.g., *Costa Rica*, *Amazon*, *Panama*, and *tropical*). In contrast, all of the top-50 terms from non-tropical articles were conceptual (e.g., *phenotypic plasticity*, *food web*, and *sexual selection*; Table 1). The second is that after removing the system- and location-specific terms, there is ample conceptual overlap between tropical and nontropical studies (Table 2) and that the topics studied are broadly consistent with disciplinary trends (Anderson et al., 2021; Carmel et al., 2013; McCallen et al., 2019). That said, the most common research topics within each article category often differ dramatically in their relative

TABLE 1 The top $N = 50$ terms used in keywords and titles of tropical articles, nontropical articles, and the terms that the two categories have in common.

Tropical: Unique top terms (rank)	Non-tropical: Unique top terms (rank)	Shared top terms (rank in tropical, nontropical)
Tropical forest (1)	Sexual selection (1)	Diversity (4, 7)
Tropical rainforest (2)	Phenotypic plasticity (5)	Fragmentation (5, 46)
Seed dispersal (3)	Natural selection (9)	Climate change (6, 3)
Tree species (8)	Tradeoff (12)	Species richness (7, 19)
Costa rica (10)	Adaptation (13)	Herbivory (9, 15)
Atlantic forest (11)	Food web (14)	Disturbance (13, 38)
Brazil (12)	Local adaptation (16)	Life history (17, 2)
Conservation (14)	Gene flow (18)	Competition (21, 4)
Rainforest (15)	Quantitative genetic (21)	Community structure (29, 44)
Seed germination (16)	Drosophila melanogaster (22)	Speciation (30, 8)
Panama (18)	Reproductive isolation (23)	Predation (31, 20)
Dryforest (19)	Sex ratio (24)	Body size (34, 10)
Seed predation (20)	Fitness (25)	Population dynamic (35, 6)
Tropical tree (22)	Coevolution (26)	Dispersal (40, 11)
Functional trait (23)	Experimental evolution (27)	Density dependence (50, 17)
Savanna (24)	Genetic variation (28)	
Species diversity (25)	Inbreeding depression (29)	
Mexico (26)	Maternal effect (30)	
Puerto rico (27)	Evolution (31)	
Frugivory (28)	Mate choice (32)	
Phenology (32)	Phylogeny (33)	
Neotropic (33)	Ecosystem function (34)	
Tropical dry (36)	Sexual conflict (35)	
Cloud forest (37)	Mating system (36)	
Pollination (38)	Heritability (37)	
Tropical dryforest (39)	Sperm competition (39)	
Nitrogen (41)	Coexistence (40)	
Amazon (42)	Hybridization (41)	
Seasonality (43)	Plant community (42)	
Secondary forest (44)	Reproductive success (43)	
Phosphorus (45)	Sexual dimorphism (45)	
Mutualism (46)	Selection (47)	
Beta diversity (47)	Metaanalysis (48)	
Succession (48)	Genetic correlation (49)	
Fire (49)	Community assembly (50)	

Note: The term's rank in a category is in parentheses. Terms in bold refer to geographic locations.

rankings (Figure S1), and there are notable areas of topical divergence (Table 2).

One interpretation of these results is that Tropical Biology is in fact a subdiscipline focused on problems and topics of particular relevance in tropical locations. While there are subjects for which this is undoubtedly true, the observed differences could also indicate that some topics are extensively studied in over-represented research sites (Stocks et al., 2008) or the historical relegation of certain subjects to the tropics (Zuk, 2016). While preliminary, these results are consistent with those of Castro Torres and Alburez-Gutierrez (2022), who analyzed of over half a million articles from the social sciences. They found a far greater prevalence of geographic markers in the titles of articles by authors in the Global South, which they argue both indicates and perpetuates "an unwarranted claim on universality" by scholars from North America and Europe. This parallel evidence from a different field is compelling, and biases in the types of research conducted in the tropics—regardless of the underlying mechanism—could shape the development of theory and determine what data are used to test it (Raby, 2017). Without more (and more sophisticated) tests of

TABLE 2 The top $N = 50$ terms used in the keywords and titles of tropical and nontropical articles that are unique to each of these categories once system-specific terms have been excluded. This is followed by the top terms from each category that they have in common.

Tropical: Unique top terms (rank)	Non-tropical: Unique top terms (rank)	Shared top terms (rank in tropical, nontropical)
Seed dispersal (1)	Natural selection (1)	Diversity (2,7)
Tree species (6)	Tradeoff (6)	Fragmentation (3,46)
Conservation (9)	Adaptation (9)	Climate change (4,3)
Rainforest (10)	Food web (10)	Species richness (5,19)
Seed germination (11)	Local adaptation (11)	Herbivory (7,15)
Dryforest (13)	Gene flow (13)	Disturbance (8,38)
Seed predation (14)	Quantitative genetic (14)	Life history (12,2)
Functional trait (16)	Drosophila melanogaster (16)	Competition (15,4)
Savanna (17)	Reproductive isolation (17)	Community structure (20,44)
Species diversity (18)	Sex ratio (18)	Speciation (21,8)
Frugivory (19)	Fitness (19)	Predation (22,20)
Phenology (23)	Coevolution (23)	Body size (24,10)
Cloud forest (26)	Experimental evolution (26)	Population dynamic (25,6)
Pollination (27)	Genetic variation (27)	Dispersal (28,11)
Nitrogen (29)	Inbreeding depression (29)	Density dependence (37,17)
Seasonality (30)	Maternal effect (30)	Community assembly (42,50)
Secondary forest (31)	Evolution (31)	Sexual selection (43,1)
Phosphorus (32)	Mate choice (32)	Phenotypic plasticity (47,5)
Mutualism (33)	Phylogeny (33)	
Succession (34)	Ecosystem function (34)	
Fire (35)	Sexual conflict (35)	
Beta diversity (36)	Mating system (36)	
Bird (38)	Heritability (38)	
Biomass (39)	Sperm competition (39)	
Montane forest (40)	Coexistence (40)	
Biogeography (41)	Hybridization (41)	
Regeneration (44)	Plant community (44)	
Species composition (45)	Reproductive success (45)	
Remote sensing (46)	Sexual dimorphism (46)	
Litter (48)	Selection (48)	
Temperature (49)	Metaanalysis (49)	
Forest structure (50)	Genetic correlation (50)	

alternative hypotheses for the patterns presented here, it seems premature to conclude that Tropical Biology is a conceptually distinct field.

4 | WHY THE ANSWER IS "YES"

> No education is complete without a trip to the Tropics.
> J. E. Webb (1960)

Finally, I believe an argument can be made for treating *Tropical* Biology as a unique discipline, but not one based on the reasons typically put forward by others. What sets the field apart is not the biology per se (sensu Robinson, 1978). Rather, what Tropical Biologists have in common is the broader context in which their scholarship is embedded and carried out. Research anywhere is challenging, but for tropical biologists the precarious infrastructure, economic volatility, limited resources, and political instability can make the challenges feel insurmountable. These struggles can be compounded by having to communicate one's results in a foreign language (Amano et al., 2016) to the potentially biased reviewers and readers (Smith et al., 2023) of journals that are increasingly charging publications fees equivalent to several months salary (Smith et al., 2021). When added to the physical and emotional toll of disease, crime, working in isolation, the destruction of their field sites, and the potential for professional retribution or physical violence (Clancy et al., 2014; Ellwanger et al., 2020; Palinkas & Wong, 2020), research in the tropics can be uniquely stressful, dangerous—even deadly. Lamentably, this is also true for the heroic conservationists, indigenous leaders, and journalists with whom we work (Cavalcanti et al., 2023).

5 | THE FUTURE OF (TROPICAL) BIOLOGY

> There are few things more presumptuous than a US scientist holding forth on the future of tropical ecology.
>
> D. H. Janzen (1972)

In 1945, the President of the Ecological Society of America (ESA), Orlando Park, encouraged its members to establish a "full scale program in tropical ecology", including "a new journal…dealing with tropical biology in its broadest aspects" (Park, 1945). How would the field be different if the ESA had done so? What if the scientific community had paid heed to Richards (1946) and properly centered the tropics when drawing biological generalizations? Or if UNESCO's International Hylean Amazon Institute, the ambitious international consortium proposed in 1946 by Brazilian biochemist and diplomat Paulo Carneiro (Maio & Sá, 2000; van Dresser, 1948), had come to fruition? Perhaps universities in Europe and North America would offer elective courses in *Temperate* Biology. The instructors of these courses might present their research at the annual meeting of the *Association for Temperate Biology & Conservation* (Figure 2) and publish papers in specialized journals, with article titles that—in contrast to the broader conceptual advances from the tropics—emphasize the specific temperate systems or locations the work was done (Figure 3).

I prefer instead to consider what the ambiguity of my conclusions implies for how we should move forward. I suggest that the future lies in neither dropping the geographic adjective that motivates so many of us, nor keeping it and accepting status as specialization. Instead, I call on ATBC members to continue taking pride in and elevating what makes biology in the tropics distinct and important—the places and context in which we work—while working to recenter tropical ecosystems as the biological foundation and conceptual focus of Ecology and Evolutionary Biology. Below are six actions with which I propose anyone can help us *reclaim and reshape the Tropical Narrative*.

5.1 | Cite with purpose

Citation is a powerful and political act; it conveys legitimacy on the scholarship in the article being cited as well as its author, helps elevate the profile of the author and study system, and those reading your work will cite these articles when writing their own. For many scientists it also plays an important role in their professional advancement. Be mindful of this impact and the opportunity it presents when choosing whom to cite. Cite scientists whose work or approach you feel is undervalued or overlooked. Cite scientists from countries or institutions that have been ignored by the broader scientific community. Cite scientists whose approach to research you feel others should emulate. Cite studies conducted in the tropics.

5.2 | Teach with purpose

All tropical biologists are teachers, whether it be in a classroom or in a meeting with policy makers, and teaching also provides an opportunity to elevate the scholarship of others. Be mindful of whose papers are assigned as readings, the studies and systems used to illustrate concepts, and the scientists highlighted in presentations. Use your syllabus as a tool to recast the narrative about the tropics and the scientific community that studies them. Train students in the skills needed when working in tropical systems—collaboration, facilitation, conflict resolution, and communication to diverse audiences (Kainer et al., 2006). Teach collaboratively and cross-nationally (Russell et al., 2022).

5.3 | Collaborate with purpose

International collaboration can be challenging, but personally and professionally rewarding (Smith et al., 2014). Be mindful of global scientific inequities, laws, and "parachute science" (Asase et al., 2022; Gómez-Pompa, 2004; Ramírez-Castañeda et al., 2022). Partner with communities to identify research priorities and return the results of research to them (Duchelle et al., 2009; Kainer et al., 2009). Push for organizations and universities to strengthen collaborations with—and especially within—the Global South (Kainer et al., 2006; Ocampo-Ariza et al., 2023). Treat the parataxonomists, field technicians, and station staff that make our work possible with the respect they deserve (Basset et al., 2004); that includes recognizing their contributions with coauthorship the way one would other essential contributors (e.g., Bruna et al., 2004). Review submissions for and submit articles to national journals. Make an effort to learn the local language.

FIGURE 2 The logo for a proposed new scholarly society for researchers specializing on temperate ecosystems and species.



FIGURE 3 The (a) original and (b) reimagined titles of four high-profile research articles. Comparing these emphasizes how the original titles reflect and reinforce the idea that "reference" or "default ecosystems" are found in the Temperate Zone.

5.4 | Engage the public

Public fascination with the tropics and their charismatic species (Albert et al., 2018) provides unparalleled opportunities for outreach and education (Moreira & Robles, 2017). Take advantage of global sporting events (Melo et al., 2014), teams with tropical species as mascots (Sartore-Baldwin & McCullough, 2019), movies set in the tropics (Yong et al., 2011), tropical images in fashion (Kutesko, 2014), or other connections between people's interests and tropical biodiversity. Leverage this universal appeal into support for tropical research and conservation (but beware of philanthropic paternalism and the risk of perpetuating stereotypes).

5.5 | Get in the game

Help make the process of publishing fairer by serving as a reviewer or subject editor for *Biotropica* (Powers et al., 2024). Contribute to capacity building efforts by reviewing student seed grants proposals or serving as a judge for student presentations at the ATBC's Annual Meeting. Join a committee or chapter and organize a webinar, workshop, hackathon, or reading group. What should the ATBC be doing differently? Communicate your ideas to the leadership or stand for election and push for change as a Councilor or Chapter Officer.

5.6 | Support and celebrate one another

Finally, remember that the work done by tropical biologists addresses the "neglected problems that afflict most of the world's people" (Annan, 2003). Conducting research—regardless of the subject—advances the socioeconomic condition of the country in which it's conducted. It is difficult, frustrating, and not without risk. Take a moment to thank, congratulate, and support each other (Nordseth et al., 2023; Rudzki et al., 2022) for your contributions and the effort and resilience that they required. There is no more important a time to be a *Tropical* Biologist.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

E.M.B conceived the study and is responsible for the methodology, data collection, data curation, formal analysis, validation, visualization, software, and writing.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The title of this essay was Inspired by the title of M. H. Robinson's, 1978 paper in *Tropical Ecology*. I am grateful to the organizers of the 2022 Meeting of the ATBC for encouraging the Presidential Plenary on which this essay is based, to J. Powers for her outstanding editorial work, and to P. Delamônica for her unending support and insights. I am also grateful to M. Raby and N. Stepan, whose outstanding books shaped many of the ideas expressed here. This essay is dedicated to the memory of Emilio Bruna Jr.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST STATEMENT

The author confirms that there have been no involvements that might raise the question of bias in the work reported or in the conclusions, implications, or opinions stated.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The data used in this publication, the code used to import, organize, and analyze these data, and the code used to prepare the manuscript are available at Zenodo https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.13821266 and Github https://github.com/BrunaLab/bruna_biotropica_plenary_ms. The data used in this paper are part of a larger dataset collected for a longitudinal study of research in the tropics; those data and the code used to harvest, clean, and organize them are available at Github

https://github.com/BrunaLab/tropical_bibliometrics. Questions regarding the data or code, or suggestions for improvement should be posted as Issues on that repository or referred to E. M. Bruna.

ORCID

Emilio M. Bruna https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3381-8477

REFERENCES

Albert, C., Luque, G. M., & Courchamp, F. (2018). The twenty most charismatic species. *PLoS One*, *13*, e0199149. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0199149

Amano, T., González-Varo, J. P., & Sutherland, W. J. (2016). Languages are still a major barrier to global science. *PLoS Biology*, *14*, e2000933. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pbio.2000933

Anderson, S. C., Elsen, P. R., Hughes, B. B., Tonietto, R. K., Bletz, M. C., Gill, D. A., Holgerson, M. A., Kuebbing, S. E., McDonough MacKenzie, C., Meek, M. H., & Veríssimo, D. (2021). Trends in ecology and conservation over eight decades. *Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment*, *19*, 274–282. https://doi.org/10.1002/fee.2320

Annan, K. (2003). A challenge to the world's scientists. *Science*, *299*, 1485. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.299.5612.1485

Arnold, D. (1996). *The problem of nature: Environment, culture and European expansion*. Blackwell.

Asase, A., Mzumara-Gawa, T. I., Owino, J. O., Peterson, A. T., & Saupe, E. (2022). Replacing "parachute science" with "global science" in ecology and conservation biology. *Conservation Science and Practice*, *4*, e517. https://doi.org/10.1111/csp2.517

Bairlein, F., Norris, D. R., Nagel, R., Bulte, M., Voigt, C. C., Fox, J. W., Hussell, D. J. T., & Schmaljohann, H. (2012). Cross-hemisphere migration of a 25 g songbird. *Biology Letters*, *8*, 505–507. https://doi.org/10.1098/rsbl.2011.1223

Basset, Y., Novotny, V., Miller, S. E., Weiblen, G. D., Missa, O., & Stewart, A. J. A. (2004). Conservation and biological monitoring of tropical forests: The role of parataxonomists. *Journal of Applied Ecology*, *41*, 163–174.

Bawa, K. S., Kress, W. J., Nadkarni, N. M., & Lele, S. (2004). Beyond paradise: Meeting the challenges in tropical biology in the 21st century. *Biotropica*, *36*, 437–446. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1744-7429.2004.tb00341.x

Bruna, E. M., Kress, W. J., Marques, F., & da Silva, O. F. (2004). *Heliconia acuminata* reproductive success is independent of local floral density. *Acta Amazonica*, *34*, 467–471. https://doi.org/10.1590/S0044-59672004000300012

Buechner, H. K., & Fosberg, F. R. (1967). A contribution toward a world program in tropical biology. *Bioscience*, *17*, 532–538. https://doi.org/10.2307/1294010

Carmel, Y., Kent, R., Bar-Massada, A., Blank, L., Liberzon, J., Nezer, O., Sapir, G., & Federman, R. (2013). Trends in ecological research during the last three decades: A systematic review. *PLoS One*, *8*, e59813. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0059813

Castro Torres, A. F., & Alburez-Gutierrez, D. (2022). North and south: Naming practices and the hidden dimension of global disparities in knowledge production. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, *119*, e2119373119. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2119373119

Cavalcanti, R. P., Benzaquen, G. F., da Silva Ribeiro Gomes, S., & Porto Almeida, V. (2023). Political violence and mobilisation in Brazil's Amazonian region during Bolsonaro's government (2019–2022). *Justice, Power and Resistance*, *6*, 152–170. https://doi.org/10.1332/SONH8866

Chapman, V. J., Flemmich, C. O., Griffith, A. L., Harley, J. L., Hobbins, R., Holmes, C. H., De Rosayro, C., & Wyatt-Smith, J. (1945). Need for development of tropical ecological studies. *Nature*, *156*, 627–628. https://doi.org/10.1038/156627a0

Chazdon, R. L., & Whitmore, T. C. (Eds.). (2001). *Foundations of tropical Forest biology: Classic papers with commentaries*. University of Chicago Press.

Clancy, K. B. H., Nelson, R. G., Rutherford, J. N., & Hinde, K. (2014). Survey of academic field experiences (SAFE): Trainees report harassment and assault. *PLoS One*, *9*, e102172. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0102172

Corner, E. J. H. (1946). Need for the development of tropical ecological stations. *Nature*, *157*, 377. https://doi.org/10.1038/157377b0

Driver, F., & Yeoh, B. S. A. (2000). Constructing the tropics: Introduction. *Singapore Journal of Tropical Geography*, *21*, 1–5. https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-9493.00059

Duchelle, A. E., Biedenweg, K., Lucas, C., Virapongse, A., Radachowsky, J., Wojcik, D. J., Londres, M., Bartels, W.-L., Alvira, D., & Kainer, K. A. (2009). Graduate students and knowledge exchange with local stakeholders: Possibilities and preparation. *Biotropica*, *41*, 578–585. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1744-7429.2009.00563.x

Ellwanger, J. H., Kulmann-Leal, B., Kaminski, V. L., Valverde-Villegas, J. M., Veiga, A. B. G. D., Spilki, F. R., Fearnside, P. M., Caesar, L., Giatti, L. L., Wallau, G. L., Almeida, S. E. M., Borba, M. R., Hora, V. P. D., & Chies, J. A. B. (2020). Beyond diversity loss and climate change: Impacts of Amazon deforestation on infectious diseases and public health. *Anais da Academia Brasileira de Ciências*, *92*, e20191375. https://doi.org/10.1590/0001-3765202020191375

Eppley, T. M., Reuter, K. E., Sefczek, T. M., Tinsman, J., Santini, L., Hoeks, S., Andriantsaralaza, S., Shanee, S., Fiore, A. D., Setchell, J. M., Strier, K. B., Abanyam, P. A., Mutalib, A. H. A., Abwe, E., Ahmed, T., Ancrenaz, M., Andriantsimanarilafy, R. R., Ang, A., Aureli, F., … Mittermeier, R. A. (2024). Tropical field stations yield high conservation return on investment. *Conservation Letters*, *17*, e13007. https://doi.org/10.1111/conl.13007

Feeley, K. J., & Stroud, J. T. (2018). Where on earth are the "tropics"? *Frontiers of Biogeography*, *10*, 1–2. https://doi.org/10.21425/F5FBG38649

Fournier, A. M. V., Boone, M. E., Stevens, F. R., & Bruna, E. M. (2020). Refsplitr: Author name disambiguation, author georeferencing, and mapping of coauthorship networks with web of science data. *Journal of Open Source Software*, *5*, 2028. https://doi.org/10.21105/joss.02028

Gaston, K. J. (2000). Global patterns in biodiversity. *Nature*, *405*, 220–227. https://doi.org/10.1038/35012228

Gómez-Pompa, A. (2004). The role of biodiversity scientists in a troubled world. *Bioscience*, *54*, 217–225. https://doi.org/10.1641/0006-3568(2004)054[0217:TROBSI]2.0.CO;2

Gourou, P. (1947). *Les pays tropicaux, principes d'une géographie humaine et économique* (1st ed.). Presses Universitaires de France.

Hoornweg, D., & Pope, K. (2017). Population predictions for the world's largest cities in the 21st century. *Environment and Urbanization*, *29*, 195–216. https://doi.org/10.1177/0956247816663557

Janzen, D. (1972). Whither tropical ecology. In J. A. Behnke (Ed.), *Challenging biological problems: Directions toward their solution* (pp. 281–296). Oxford University Press.

Janzen, D. H. (1986). The future of tropical ecology. *Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics*, *17*, 305–324.

Kainer, K. A., DiGiano, M. L., Duchelle, A. E., Wadt, L. H. O., Bruna, E. M., & Dain, J. L. (2009). Partnering for greater success: Local stakeholders and research in tropical biology and conservation. *Biotropica*, *41*, 555–562. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1744-7429.2009.00560.x

Kainer, K. A., Schmink, M., Covert, H., Stepp, J. R., Bruna, E. M., Dain, J. L., Espinosa, S., & Humphries, S. (2006). A graduate education framework for tropical conservation and development. *Conservation Biology*, *20*, 3–13. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1523-1739.2006.00356.x

Kutesko, E. (2014). Adidas shows the changing face of Brazil with tropical collection. The conversation. http://theconversation.com/adidas-shows-the-changing-face-of-brazil-with-tropical-collection-26546

Maio, M. C., & Sá, M. R. (2000). Ciência na periferia: A Unesco, a proposta de criação do Instituto Internacional da Hiléia Amazônica e as origens do Inpa. *História, Ciências, Saúde-Manguinhos*, *6*, 975–1017. https://doi.org/10.1590/S0104-59702000000500011

McCallen, E., Knott, J., Nunez-Mir, G., Taylor, B., Jo, I., & Fei, S. (2019). Trends in ecology: Shifts in ecological research themes over the

past four decades. *Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment*, *17*, 109–116. https://doi.org/10.1002/fee.1993

Melo, F. P., Siqueira, J. A., Santos, B. A., Álvares-da-Silva, O., Ceballos, G., & Bernard, E. (2014). Football and biodiversity conservation: FIFA and Brazil can still hit a green goal. *Biotropica*, *46*, 257–259. https://doi.org/10.1111/btp.12114

Miller, D. P., & Reill, P. H. (Eds.). (2011). *Visions of empire: Voyages, botany, and representations of nature*. Cambridge University Press.

Moles, A. T., & Ollerton, J. (2016). Is the notion that species interactions are stronger and more specialized in the tropics a zombie idea? *Biotropica*, *48*, 141–145. https://doi.org/10.1111/btp.12281

Moreira, J. C., & Robles, R. A. (2017). Tamar project: Conservation and education in ecotourism activities related to turtles in Fernando de Noronha archipelago, Brazil. In I. Borges de Lima & R. J. Green (Eds.), *Wildlife tourism, environmental learning and ethical encounters: Ecological and conservation aspects. Geoheritage, Geoparks and Geotourism* (pp. 169–181). Springer International Publishing. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-55574-4_10

Nordseth, A. E., Gerson, J. R., Aguilar, L. K., Dunham, A. E., Gentles, A., Neale, Z., & Rebol, E. (2023). The fieldwork wellness framework: A new approach to field research in ecology. *Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment*, *21*, 297–303. https://doi.org/10.1002/fee.2649

Ocampo-Ariza, C., Toledo-Hernández, M., Librán-Embid, F., Armenteras, D., Vansynghel, J., Raveloaritiana, E., Arimond, I., Angulo-Rubiano, A., Tscharntke, T., Ramírez-Castañeda, V., & Wurz, A. (2023). Global south leadership towards inclusive tropical ecology and conservation. *Perspectives in Ecology and Conservation*, *21*, 17–24. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pecon.2023.01.002

Palinkas, L. A., & Wong, M. (2020). Global climate change and mental health. *Current Opinion in Psychology*, *32*, 12–16. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.copsyc.2019.06.023

Park, O. (1945). Observations concerning the future of ecology. *Ecology*, *26*, 1–9. https://doi.org/10.2307/1931910

Powers, J. S., Ratnam, J., & Slade, E. (2024). *Biotropica*'s first open call for editorial service. *Biotropica*, *56*, e13339. https://doi.org/10.1111/btp.13339

Putz, F. E., & Holbrook, N. M. (1988). Tropical rain-forest images. In J. S. Denslow & C. Padoch (Eds.), *People of the tropical rain Forest* (pp. 37–52). University of California Press.

R Core Team. (2023). *R: A language and environment for statistical computing*. R Foundation for Statistical Computing. https://www.R-project.org/

Raby, M. (2017). *American tropics: The Caribbean roots of biodiversity science*. UNC Press Books.

Ramírez-Castañeda, V., Westeen, E. P., Frederick, J., Amini, S., Wait, D. R., Achmadi, A. S., Andayani, N., Arida, E., Arifin, U., Bernal, M. A., Bonaccorso, E., Bonachita Sanguila, M., Brown, R. M., Che, J., Condori, F. P., Hartiningtias, D., Hiller, A. E., Iskandar, D. T., Jiménez, R. A., … Tarvin, R. D. (2022). A set of principles and practical suggestions for equitable fieldwork in biology. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, *119*, e2122667119. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2122667119

Richards, P. W. (1946). Need for the development of tropical ecological stations. *Nature*, *157*, 377. https://doi.org/10.1038/157377a0

Richards, P. W. (1963). What the tropics can contribute to ecology. *Journal of Ecology*, *51*, 231–241. https://doi.org/10.2307/2257682

Richards, P. W. (1964). Towards a programme for tropical biology. *Bulletin of the Association for Tropical Biology*, *3*, 8–15.

Ripley, S. D. (1967). Perspectives in tropical biology. *Bioscience*, *17*, 538–540. https://doi.org/10.2307/1294011

Robinson, M. H. (1978). Is tropical biology real. *Tropical Ecology*, *19*, 30–52.

Rudzki, E. N., Kuebbing, S. E., Clark, D. R., Gharaibeh, B., Janecka, M. J., Kramp, R., Kohl, K. D., Mastalski, T., Ohmer, M. E. B., Turcotte, M. M., & Richards-Zawacki, C. L. (2022). A guide for developing a field research safety manual that explicitly considers risks for marginalized identities in the sciences. *Methods in Ecology and Evolution*, *13*, 2318–2330. https://doi.org/10.1111/2041-210X.13970

Russell, A. E., Aide, T. M., Braker, E., Ganong, C. N., Hardin, R. D., Holl, K. D., Hotchkiss, S. C., Klemens, J. A., Kuprewicz, E. K., McClearn, D., Middendorf, G., Ostertag, R., Powers, J. S., Russo, S. E., Stynoski, J. L., Valdez, U., & Willis, C. G. (2022). Integrating tropical research into biology education is urgently needed. *PLoS Biology*, *20*, e3001674. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pbio.3001674

Sartore-Baldwin, M., & McCullough, B. (2019). Examining sport fans and the endangered species who represent their affiliated team mascots. *Society and Animals*, *29*, 268–286. https://doi.org/10.1163/15685306-12341605

Smith, A. C., Merz, L., Borden, J. B., Gulick, C. K., Kshirsagar, A. R., & Bruna, E. M. (2021). Assessing the effect of article processing charges on the geographic diversity of authors using Elsevier's "Mirror journal" system. *Quantitative Science Studies*, *2*, 1123–1143. https://doi.org/10.1162/qss_a_00157

Smith, B. (1950). European vision and the South Pacific. *Journal of the Warburg and Courtauld Institutes*, *13*, 65–100. https://doi.org/10.2307/750143

Smith, M. J., Weinberger, C., Bruna, E. M., & Allesina, S. (2014). The scientific impact of nations: Journal placement and citation performance. *PLoS One*, *9*, e109195. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0109195

Smith, O. M., Davis, K. L., Pizza, R. B., Waterman, R., Dobson, K. C., Foster, B., Jarvey, J. C., Jones, L. N., Leuenberger, W., Nourn, N., Conway, E. E., Fiser, C. M., Hansen, Z. A., Hristova, A., Mack, C., Saunders, A. N., Utley, O. J., Young, M. L., & Davis, C. L. (2023). Peer review perpetuates barriers for historically excluded groups. *Nature Ecology & Evolution*, *7*, 512–523. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41559-023-01999-w

Sohmer, S. H. (1980). NSF support of basic research in tropical biology. *Bioscience*, *30*, 412–415. https://doi.org/10.2307/1308006

Stegmann, L. F., França, F. M., Carvalho, R. L., Barlow, J., Berenguer, E., Castello, L., Juen, L., Baccaro, F. B., Vieira, I. C. G., Nunes, C. A., Oliveira, R., Venticinque, E. M., Schietti, J., & Ferreira, J. (2024). Brazilian public funding for biodiversity research in the Amazon. *Perspectives in Ecology and Conservation*, *22*, 1–7. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pecon.2024.01.003

Stepan, N. (2001). *Picturing tropical nature*. Cornell University Press.

Stocks, G., Seales, L., Paniagua, F., Maehr, E., & Bruna, E. M. (2008). The geographical and institutional distribution of ecological research in the tropics. *Biotropica*, *40*, 397–404. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1744-7429.2007.00393.x

van Dresser, P. (1948). The future of the Amazon. *Scientific American*, *178*, 11–15.

Webb, J. E. (1960). Biology in the tropics. *Nature*, *188*, 617–619. https://doi.org/10.1038/188617a0

Yong, D. L., Fam, S. D., & Lum, S. (2011). Reel conservation: Can big screen animations save tropical biodiversity? *Tropical Conservation Science*, *4*, 244–253. https://doi.org/10.1177/194008291100400302

Zuk, M. (2016). Temperate assumptions: How where we work influences how we think. *The American Naturalist*, *188*, S1–S7. https://doi.org/10.1086/687546

SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Additional supporting information can be found online in the Supporting Information section at the end of this article.

How to cite this article: Bruna, E. M. (2025). Is there really such a thing as *Tropical* Biology? *Biotropica*, *57*, e13387. https://doi.org/10.1111/btp.13387